

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,427	11/28/2003	Yasutaka Sugawara	117900	7364
25944 7	590 12/21/2005		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			IP, SIKYIN	
P.O. BOX 19928				
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1742	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h	/
n	/

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/722,427	SUGAWARA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sikyin Ip	1742	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid a this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidences the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within a time periods:	lence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	ection. S FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	opriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two modifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered	l because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying appeal; and/or 	ng the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendments	nt (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	(1 102 02 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amend non-allowable claim(s).	
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) solution will be entered and a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6.	n explanation of
Claim(s) rejected. <u>7-0.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7</u> .	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	not be entered e is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a bri entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or att	ached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition because: See Continuation Short	for allowance
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1
13. Other:	(
SIKY PRIMARY E	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons set forth in final rejection. Applicants' argument in page 3, first full paragraph is noted. But, first the instant rejected claims do not exclude TiCu3. Second, there is no factual evidence that the claimed Ti-Cu-X particles would not have been inherently possessed by alloy of cited reference. Applicants' argument in paragraph bridging pages 3-4 of instant remarks is noted. But, there is no factual evidence that the alleged heating rate is critical for suppressing TiCu3 ppt. Moreover, same product can be produced by many different methods.